POLAC INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ECONS & MGT SCIENCE (PIJEMS)
DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS & MANAGEMENT SCIENCE
NIGERIA POLICE ACADEMY, WUDIL-KANO

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF FINANCIAL OPTIMIZATION: A RIGOROUS EXPLORATION OF THE HAMILTON-JACOBI-BELLMAN EQUATION

Abdulgaffar Muhammad — Department of Business Administration, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria

Anthony Unyime Abasido — Department of Business Admin and Mgt, Federal Polytechnic Daura

Ibitomi Taiwo — Department of Business Administration, Achievers University

John Nma Aliu — Department of Business Administration, Green Field University

Adedokun Lateef Adetunji — Department of Banking and Finance, Kaduna Polytechnic, Kaduna

Maryam Isyaku — Department of Business Administration, Bayero University, Kano

Anthony Kolade Adesugba — Department of Business Administration, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria

Abstract

The study provides a rigorous theoretical exploration of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation and its foundations in financial optimization. The HJB equation serves as a cornerstone in optimizing sequential decision-making under uncertainty. The study discusses the adaptation of the classical Hamilton-Jacobi equation from mechanics to finance, establishing the theoretical framework for applying dynamic programming in financial contexts. A comprehensive perspective on financial optimization as a mathematical discipline is presented, emphasizing the role of uncertainty and system dynamics. The integration of stochastic control theory is delineated, underscoring the elegance of adapting control concepts. The theoretical derivation and practical implications of the HJB equation are explicated in detail. The nonlinearities and challenges inherent in solving the HJB equation are also discussed.

Keywords: Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation, dynamic programming, stochastic control, financial optimization, nonlinear partial differential equations, viscosity solutions

Introduction

Dynamic programming, a mathematical optimization technique, has found profound applications in the field of finance, particularly in addressing complex sequential decision problems. This section provides an overview of dynamic programming principles, emphasizing its relevance in financial optimization. The theoretical foundation of dynamic programming involves recursive decision-making processes, which play a pivotal role in navigating sequential financial challenges. Additionally, a theoretical framework for financial optimization is presented, laying the groundwork for the subsequent exploration of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation.

Dynamic programming principles involve breaking down a complex problem into simpler subproblems and solving them systematically. The application of dynamic programming in finance allows for the optimization of decision-making processes over time, aligning with the dynamic and uncertain nature of financial markets (Bellman, 1957).

In the realm of sequential financial problems, recursive decision-making becomes paramount. The ability to make optimal decisions at each step, considering the future implications of current choices, is a fundamental aspect of dynamic programming. This recursive nature aligns with the dynamic nature of financial markets, where decisions made today can significantly impact future outcomes (Dixit & Pindyck, 1994).

The theoretical framework for financial optimization serves as the backbone for applying dynamic programming to real-world financial scenarios. The framework involves formulating optimization problems that capture the essence of decision-making under uncertainty and dynamic market conditions. This theoretical underpinning sets the stage for introducing the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation, a powerful tool rooted in dynamic programming that provides a mathematical framework for optimizing financial decisions.

In summary, this introduction sets the stage for a comprehensive exploration of dynamic programming in finance, emphasizing its principles, recursive decision-making in sequential financial problems, and the theoretical framework that lays the groundwork for the subsequent discussion on the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation.

Adaptation of Hamilton-Jacobi Equation to Finance

Classical Hamilton-Jacobi Equation in Mechanics

The Classical Hamilton-Jacobi Equation is a profound expression in mechanics that unveils the dynamics of a system through the lens of action principles (Hamilton, 1834; Jacobi, 1837). Rooted in the works of Hamilton and Jacobi, this equation provides a sophisticated alternative to Newton's equations of motion, offering deeper insights into the evolution of dynamic systems.

Consider a system described by generalized coordinates evolving over time t. The Hamiltonian, representing the total energy of the system, is a function of coordinate's q and momenta p:

$$(q, p,t) = \frac{1}{2m}(p - (q,)^2 + (q,t)$$

Where m is the mass of the particle, $A(q,t)$ is a vector potential, and $V(q,t)$ is the potential energy.

To derive the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, we start with the action integral S, defined as:

$$S[q(t),t] = \int\int_{t1}^{t2} L\ (q(t), q^{\cdot}(t),t)dt,$$

Where L is the Lagrangian of the system, given by y $L(q,\ q^{\cdot},t)\ =\ \frac{1}{2}\ mq\ 2\ -\ A(q,t)q^{\cdot}\ -\ V(q,t)$. The action represents the difference between kinetic and potential energies integrated over time.

Applying the Hamilton's Principal Function, denoted as S, as a generating function, we introduce canonical transformations to new coordinates αi and βi conjugate to qi and αi, respectively. The transformations yield:

$$.\ pi = \frac{\partial S}{\partial qi}\ ,\ \beta i = \frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha i}$$

With these transformations, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation takes the form:

$$H\ (q, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q},) + \frac{\partial S}{\partial q} = 0\ ($$ (Hamilton-Jacobi Equation).

This equation encapsulates the intricate dynamics of the system, providing a bridge between classical and quantum mechanics. Its solutions, often obtained through separation of variables, unlock the trajectories and evolution of dynamic systems with elegance and mathematical depth.

In summary, the Classical Hamilton-Jacobi Equation, with its intricate derivations and complex representations, stands as a cornerstone in theoretical mechanics, shedding light on the nuanced dynamics of physical systems.

Theoretical Adaptations to the Financial Context

The transition of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation from classical mechanics to finance involves intricate theoretical adaptations. In the financial realm, we consider a system where assets evolve over time, introducing state variables Xt to capture the financial dynamics. The adapted Hamiltonian H now encapsulates the instantaneous utility of an investor and is expressed as:

$$(Xt, \frac{\partial S}{\partial Xi}, t) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial S}{\partial Xi})^2 + V(Xt,t),$$

Where V(Xt,t) represents the value function associated with the financial system. This modification accommodates the stochastic nature of financial markets and the uncertainties faced by investors (Karatzas & Shreve, 1998).

To delve deeper, we extend the action integral S to the financial domain, representing the difference between the discounted utility of wealth and the cost of uncertainty:

$$S[X(t),t] = \int_{t1}^{t2} (\frac{\partial S}{\partial Xt})dxt - 2\int_{t1}^{t2} \lambda t \ (\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial S}{\partial Xt})^2 + V(Xt,t)dt,$$

Where λt denotes the Lagrange multiplier associated with the investor's risk aversion. The financial Hamilton-Jacobi equation arises from this action, taking the form:

$$H\ (X_t, \frac{\partial S}{\partial Xt},) + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \lambda t\ (\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial S}{\partial Xt})^2 + V(Xt,t) = 0$$

This equation reflects the optimization problem faced by investors, balancing the desire for high returns with the aversion to risk. The derivation integrates financial dynamics, risk aversion, and the utility of wealth, encapsulating the complexities of financial decision-making.

In summary, the theoretical adaptations of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation to the financial context involve introducing state variables, modifying the Hamiltonian, and formulating the action integral to reflect the dynamics of financial markets.

Linking Dynamic Programming to Optimal Control in Finance

In the intricate landscape of financial decision-making, the connection between dynamic programming and optimal control becomes a pivotal framework for optimizing strategies over time. We embark on a journey to link these two concepts, drawing from the foundations laid by notable works in mathematical finance.

Consider a financial system where the state variables are denoted as Xt, representing the financial state at time t. The optimization objective involves maximizing the expected utility of wealth over a finite time horizon, incorporating the dynamic evolution of the system. We express the Hamiltonian H as:

$$H(Xt, \frac{\partial V}{\partial Xt}, t) = \frac{1}{2}\ (\frac{\partial V}{\partial Xt})^2 + r(Xt,t)V(Xt,t),$$

Where V(Xt,t) denotes the value function, and r(Xt,t) represents the rate of return on wealth. This formulation encapsulates the key elements of financial dynamics (Øksendal, 2003).

The dynamic programming equation takes the form:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + s\{-H(Xt, \alpha, t)\} = 0,$$

Where α represents the control variable, and the supremum is taken over admissible control strategies. The optimal control strategy $\alpha*$ that maximizes this expression links dynamic programming to optimal control in finance.

The derivation of the optimal control strategy involves solving the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + s\{-H(Xt, \alpha, t)\} = 0.$$

This nonlinear partial differential equation characterizes the optimal value function V and the associated optimal control strategy (Fleming & Rishel, 1975).

In summary, the linkage between dynamic programming and optimal control in finance is manifested through the Hamiltonian, the dynamic programming equation, and the solution to the HJB equation. This connection provides a powerful mathematical framework for optimizing financial strategies over time.

Financial Optimization: A Theoretical Perspective

Defining Financial Optimization as a Mathematical Discipline

In the realm of financial decision-making, the term "Financial Optimization" encompasses a mathematical discipline that seeks to identify the most efficient allocation of resources, considering various constraints and objectives. This section delves into the theoretical foundations and mathematical nuances that define financial optimization, drawing insights from seminal works in the field.

Financial optimization involves the formulation and solution of mathematical models to optimize decision-making processes in finance. At its core, it aims to maximize or minimize a certain objective function, subject to a set of constraints, reflecting the dynamic and uncertain nature of financial markets (Dixit & Pindyck, 1994).

A foundational concept in financial optimization is the mathematical programming framework, which includes linear programming, quadratic programming, and nonlinear programming. These techniques provide a structured approach to formulate and solve optimization problems, making them amenable to rigorous mathematical analysis (Bertsekas & Tsitsiklis, 1996).

Consider a generic financial optimization problem, where the decision variables are denoted as x,, the objective function to be optimized is $f(x)$, and constraints on these variables are represented by $gi(x) \leq 0$ and $hj(x) = 0$. . The optimization problem can be formulated as:

Maximize (x) Subject to $gi(x) \leq 0$, $hj(x) = 0$.

This mathematical representation allows for a systematic approach to solving complex financial decision problems, ranging from portfolio optimization to risk management.

The significance of financial optimization is underscored by its application in addressing real-world challenges, such as portfolio construction and asset allocation. By mathematically formulating these problems and leveraging optimization techniques, financial practitioners can systematically arrive at optimal solutions that align with their investment objectives and constraints (Luenberger & Ye, 2008).

In summary, financial optimization, as a mathematical discipline, provides a structured framework for making optimal decisions in the complex landscape of finance. The utilization of mathematical programming techniques and optimization algorithms forms the backbone of this discipline, contributing to the advancement of decision-making methodologies in the financial domain.

The Role of Uncertainty and Dynamics in Financial Decision-Making

In the intricate realm of financial decision-making, the incorporation of uncertainty and dynamics adds layers of complexity to mathematical models. We delve into the theoretical intricacies, drawing from foundational works to establish the role of uncertainty in the dynamic landscape of financial optimization.

The financial system, characterized by evolving state variables Xt, encounters uncertainties arising from market fluctuations. Capturing this uncertainty, we introduce a stochastic process Wt, representing Brownian motion. The dynamics of wealth, influenced by both deterministic trends and random fluctuations, are expressed as a stochastic differential equation (Karatzas & Shreve, 1998):

$dXt = \mu(Xt,t)dt + \sigma(Xt,t)dWt,$

Where μ is the drift term representing the deterministic part, and σ is the volatility term capturing the random fluctuations? This formulation encapsulates the dynamic nature of financial markets.

Uncertainty is further embedded through the introduction of an additional state variable, Yt, representing the uncertainty process. The coupled system of stochastic differential equations for Xt and Yt governs the dynamics and uncertainty in financial decision-making:

$dXt = \mu(Xt, Yt,t)dt + \sigma(Xt, Yt,t)dWt,$

$dYt = \alpha(Xt, Yt,t)dt + \beta(Xt, Yt,t)dWt',$

Where dWt and dWt′ are independent Brownian motions, capturing different sources of uncertainty.

This stochastic differential equation framework provides a comprehensive representation of uncertainty and dynamics in financial decision-making, laying the groundwork for advanced mathematical modeling.

Theoretical Foundations of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equation in Optimization

The Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation serves as the keystone in optimizing financial decisions under uncertainty. Its theoretical foundations are deeply rooted in dynamic programming and optimal control theory. Let's navigate through the intricate derivations and establish the theoretical underpinnings of the HJB equation.

Consider a financial system with state variables Xt evolving over time. The objective is to maximize the expected utility of wealth over a finite time horizon, incorporating uncertainties. The Hamiltonian H is formulated as:

$H\ (Xt, \frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{Xt}},) = \frac{1}{2}\,(\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{Xt}})^2 + r(Xt\ ,t)V(Xt,t),$

Where V(Xt,t) denotes the value function and r(Xt,t) represents the rate of return on wealth.

The dynamic programming equation, derived from the principle of optimality, takes the form:

∂t∂V+supα{−H(Xt,α,t)}=0,

Where α is the control variable, and the supremum is taken over admissible control strategies.

To delve into the theoretical foundations of the HJB equation, we invoke the Hamiltonian and formulate the HJB equation:

$\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{t}} + s\{-H(Xt,\ \alpha,t)\} = 0$

This nonlinear partial differential equation characterizes the optimal value function V and the associated optimal control strategy, providing a rigorous foundation for financial optimization under uncertainty (Fleming & Rishel, 1975).

The HJB equation connects optimal control, dynamic programming, and financial optimization, serving as a cornerstone for theoretical advancements in mathematical finance.

Stochastic Control Theory and HJB Equation

Incorporating Stochastic Control Theory into Financial Optimization

In the sophisticated realm of financial optimization, the integration of stochastic control theory adds a layer of mathematical depth, allowing for a comprehensive treatment of uncertainties and their impact on decision-making. Let's immerse ourselves in a highly equational and derivative-focused exploration of this integration.

Consider a financial system with state variables Xt evolving stochastically over time. The dynamics of the system are governed by stochastic differential equations, capturing both deterministic trends and random fluctuations:

$dXt = \mu(Xt,t)dt + \sigma(Xt,t)dWt$

Where μ represents the drift term, σ is the volatility term, and dWt denotes a Wiener process, capturing the stochastic nature of financial markets (Øksendal, 2003).

The optimization objective is to maximize the expected utility of wealth over a finite time horizon. Incorporating stochastic control theory, the Hamiltonian H is formulated as:

$H(Xt, \frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{Xt}}\,, \alpha,t) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{Xt}})^2 + r(Xt,\ \alpha,t)V(Xt,t)$

Where V(Xt,t) is the value function, α denotes the control variable, and r(Xt,α,t) represents the rate of return on wealth.

The dynamic programming equation, derived through the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation, takes the form:

$$\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{X}} + sup_{\alpha} \{-(Xt, \partial V\ \partial Xt\ , \alpha, t)\} = 0$$

Differentiating with respect to the control variable α and leveraging the stochastic calculus framework, we derive the stochastic control equation:

$$\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{t}} + \frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{Xt}} \mu(Xt,t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial Xt^2} \sigma^2(Xt,t) + sup_{\alpha}\{-r(Xt, \alpha,t)V(Xt,t)\} = 0.$$

This highly equational representation captures the essence of incorporating stochastic control theory into financial optimization. The equation balances deterministic trends, stochastic fluctuations, and the optimization of the wealth utility function over time.

Theoretical Elegance of Adapting Control Theory Concepts

The adaptation of control theory concepts in financial optimization not only provides a powerful mathematical framework but also unveils a theoretical elegance in addressing the complexities of decision-making under uncertainty. Let's explore the theoretical underpinnings, emphasizing the elegance inherent in this adaptation.

In the financial landscape, incorporating control theory involves optimizing strategies under uncertainty. The control variable, denoted as α, becomes a key player in steering the system toward optimal trajectories. The elegance lies in the formulation of the Hamiltonian H, which encapsulates the system dynamics and the cost function associated with control. The elegance unfolds in the dynamic programming equation, where the optimization process involves the supremum over control strategies:

$$\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{t}} + sup_{\alpha} \{-(Xt\ , \frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{tt}}\ , \alpha,t)\} = 0.$$

This equation harmonizes deterministic dynamics, stochastic influences, and the optimization of wealth utility. The theoretical elegance is further manifested in the derivation of the stochastic control equation, offering a sophisticated yet concise representation of optimal decision-making:

$$\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{t}} + \frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{Xt}} \mu(Xt,t) + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{Xt}}\ )^2 + \sigma^2(Xt,,t) + sup\alpha\{-r(Xt, \alpha,t)V(Xt,t)\} = 0.$$

The adaptation of control theory concepts not only enhances mathematical rigor but also provides an elegant bridge between deterministic and stochastic elements, harmonizing them in the pursuit of optimal financial decisions.

Derivation and Practical Implications of the Hjb Equation

Theoretical Derivation of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equation

The Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation is derived from the principles of stochastic control and dynamic programming, providing a comprehensive framework for optimal decision-making in the face of uncertainty. The theoretical derivation involves intricate mathematical manipulations, encapsulating the essence of financial optimization. Let's delve into the complexities purely through equations.

Starting with the stochastic control equation:

$$\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{t}} + \frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{Xt}} \mu(Xt,t) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{Xt}}\sigma^2(Xt,,t) + sup\alpha\{-r(Xt, \alpha,t)V(Xt,t)\} = 0.$$

Where:

- V is the value function,
- Xt represents the state variable evolving stochastically,
- μ is the drift term,
- σ is the volatility term,
- α denotes the control variable, and
- r(Xt,α,t) is the rate of return on wealth.

To streamline the notation, let L denote the infinitesimal generator of the process Xt defined as:

$$L = (Xt,) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{Xt}} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2\ (Xt,t) \frac{\partial 2}{\partial \mathrm{Xt}\ 2}\ .$$

The stochastic control equation becomes:

$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + LV + su\{-r(Xt, \alpha,t)V(Xt,t)\} = 0.$

Applying the Hamiltonian H definition:

$H(Xt, \frac{\partial V}{\partial Xt}, \alpha,t) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial V}{\partial Xt})^2 + r(Xt, \alpha,t)V(Xt,t),$

We can rewrite the supremum term in terms of H:

$sup\alpha\{-r(Xt, \alpha,t)V(Xt,t)\} = -inf_{\alpha}\{H(Xt, \frac{\partial V}{\partial Xt}, \alpha,t)\}.$

Substituting this back into the stochastic control equation, we arrive at the HJB equation:

$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + LV - inf_{\alpha}\{(Xt, \frac{\partial V}{\partial Xt}, \alpha,t)\} = 0$

The elegance of the HJB equation lies in its ability to capture the optimal decision-making process under uncertainty, combining stochastic dynamics, control theory, and dynamic programming principles in a single, complex equation.

Bridging Theoretical Foundations to Optimal Investment Strategies

The transition from theoretical foundations, particularly the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation, to practical implementation involves the development of optimal investment strategies. This section aims to bridge the complex theoretical framework with actionable strategies, emphasizing the application of the HJB equation. Let's delineate this journey in equations, acknowledging the relevant references.

Starting with the HJB equation:

$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + LV - inf_{\alpha}\{H(Xt, \frac{\partial V}{\partial Xt}, \alpha,t)\} = 0$

Where L is the infinitesimal generator, V is the value function, and H is the Hamiltonian.

The optimal control strategy is $\alpha*$ obtained by minimizing the Hamiltonian:

$\alpha* \text{ arg } min\alpha\{H(Xt, \frac{\partial V}{\partial Xt}, \alpha,t)\}.$

This leads to a system of stochastic differential equations defining the optimal investment strategy:

$dXt = \mu(Xt, \alpha*, t)dt + \sigma(Xt, \alpha*, t)dWt,$

Where μ and σ are the drift and volatility terms, respectively.

The practical implementation of optimal investment strategies involves solving these equations and adjusting the control variable α over time based on market conditions. The transition probability density function associated with the optimal control strategy can be derived from the Fokker-Planck equation:

$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[\mu(x, \alpha,t)p] + \frac{1}{2}\partial\ 2\ \partial X2\ [\sigma\ 2\ (x, \alpha,t)p].$

This equation provides the evolution of the probability distribution of the state variable Xt under the optimal strategy.

Bridging the theoretical foundations to practical investment strategies involves numerically solving these equations, often employing advanced computational methods such as finite difference schemes or Monte Carlo simulations (Kloeden & Platen, 1992; Glasserman, 2003).

The theoretical elegance encapsulated in the HJB equation becomes actionable through the derived optimal control strategy and the associated evolution of the probability distribution, providing a robust framework for implementing optimal investment decisions under uncertainty.

Nonlinearities and Theoretical Challenges in Solving HJB Equation

Addressing nonlinearities within the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation introduces theoretical challenges that necessitate advanced mathematical techniques for solution. This section delves into the complexities of nonlinearities, focusing on the intricacies of solving the HJB equation. The formulation will be presented purely in equations, emphasizing the theoretical challenges involved.

The HJB equation with nonlinearities takes the form:

$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + LV - inf_{\alpha}\{H(Xt, \frac{\partial V}{\partial Xt}, \alpha,t)\} = 0$

The nonlinearities arise from the dependence of the Hamiltonian H on the control variable α, introducing challenges in finding the optimal control strategy

The nonlinear HJB equation requires the solution of the minimization problem:

$$\alpha * \arg min_{\alpha} \{H\ (Xt, \frac{\partial V}{\partial Xt}, \alpha, t)\}.$$

Which is inherently challenging due to the nonlinearity of the objective function.

One approach to address these challenges is through iterative numerical methods. For instance, the Hamilton-Jacobi-Isaacs (HJI) scheme involves discretizing the state space and iteratively updating the value function V until convergence is achieved. The nonlinearities in the control problem make this iterative process computationally demanding (Crandall et al., 1983).

The viscosity solution approach offers another avenue for handling nonlinearities. The notion of viscosity solutions allows for a broader class of solutions, accommodating the challenges posed by the nonlinearity of the HJB equation (Crandall & Lions, 1983).

Moreover, addressing nonlinearities may involve employing advanced mathematical tools such as convex analysis and optimal transport theory, providing insights into the structure of the HJB equation and facilitating the development of effective numerical algorithms (Caffarelli & Souganidis, 2008).

The theoretical challenges in solving the nonlinear HJB equation highlight the need for a nuanced understanding of nonlinear control problems and the application of advanced mathematical techniques for robust solutions.

References

Bellman, R. (1957). Dynamic Programming. Princeton University Press.

Bertsekas, D. P., & Tsitsiklis, J. N. (1996). Introduction to Probability. Athena Scientific.

Caffarelli, L. A., & Souganidis, P. E. (2008). A rate of convergence for monotone finite difference approximations to fully nonlinear, uniformly elliptic PDEs. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 61(1), 1-17.

Crandall, M. G., Ishii, H., & Lions, P. L. (1983). User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 27(1), 1-67.

Dixit, A. K., & Pindyck, R. S. (1994). Investment under Uncertainty. Princeton University Press.

Dixit, A. K., & Pindyck, R. S. (1994). Investment under Uncertainty. Princeton University Press.

Fleming, W. H., & Rishel, R. W. (1975). Deterministic and Stochastic Optimal Control. Springer.

Fleming, W. H., & Rishel, R. W. (1975). Deterministic and Stochastic Optimal Control. Springer.

Glasserman, P. (2003). Monte Carlo Methods in Financial Engineering. Springer.

Hamilton, W. R. (1834). On a General Method in Dynamics. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, 124, 247-308.

Jacobi, C. G. J. (1837). Über ein bemerkenswertes analytisches Verfahren, die Aufgabe des Kepler leicht und kurz aufzulösen. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelle's Journal), 18, 71-88.

Karatzas, I., & Shreve, S. E. (1998). Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus (Graduate Texts in Mathematics). Springer.

Karatzas, I., & Shreve, S. E. (1998). Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus (Graduate Texts in Mathematics). Springer.

Kloeden, P. E., & Platen, E. (1992). Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations. Springer.

Luenberger, D. G., & Ye, Y. (2008). Linear and Nonlinear Programming (3rd ed.). Springer.

Øksendal, B. (2003). Stochastic Differential Equations: An Introduction with Applications. Springer.